### Breakdown of states' total child welfare agency expenditures in SFY 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Federal</th>
<th>State/local</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missouri</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illinois</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iowa</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indiana</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hawai‘i</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
<td>41%</td>
<td>59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connecticut</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>64%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maine</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maryland</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Massachusetts</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delaware</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Puerto Rico and Vermont are omitted from this chart because they did not complete a survey for SFY 2016. Alabama is omitted from this chart because the state was unable to report state/local expenditures. Nebraska and North Dakota were unable to provide information about local spending and were unable to provide complete information about federal spending. Therefore, their proportions may not be accurate and are omitted from this chart. Arkansas, New York, and Oregon were unable to provide information about local spending. While they are included in the chart, their proportions of state/local funds may be understated (i.e., if they were able to report local spending, their proportion of state/local spending would increase).