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Overview

• Brief overview of the National Research Center on Hispanic Children & Families
• Measures of income instability
• Subjective reality of income instability among Hispanic families
• Response to comments/moving the field forward
• Q&A
Center Mission and Objectives

**Mission:** A hub for research to help programs and policy better serve low-income Hispanics across three priority areas:

- Poverty reduction and economic self-sufficiency
- Healthy marriage and responsible fatherhood
- Early care and education

**Primary Objectives:**
1. Conduct Research
2. Build Capacity
3. Communication and Dissemination

[www.hispanicresearchcenter.org](http://www.hispanicresearchcenter.org)
Lisa Gennetian
NYU

Measures of Income Instability
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Roadmap

• Research on income instability on the rise
• Snapshot of new findings released from the Center
• Behind the scenes
  – constructs
  – data
  – measurement
  – statistical properties
• Trade-offs between different data collection strategies
• Open questions & opportunities
Why income stability?

- Differences in income instability between rich and poor families increased five-fold since 1984; mirroring trends in income-level inequality

- Employment is precarious as is social assistance; these are two main sources of income for poor families

Contributions:
- Lens of child (vs. econ literature)
- Intra vs. inter-year analyses
- Macro vs. micro (Murdoch & financial diaries, qual work)

Discussions of poverty must consider income stability
Monthly income instability matters for policy: Scenarios for family of 3 eligible for SNAP ($25,728/yr)

In practice:
---ineligible---eligible---recertify ineligible---reapply eligible

A. Stable low income
B. Permanent loss (e.g. divorce)
C. Temporary loss (e.g. job loss)
D. Highly volatile
E. Moderately volatile
Studying income dynamics: A demanding topic

- Precedence in economics literature (mostly yearly/annual trends in earnings, more recently households)

From a child lens:
- Need for theoretical framework drawing from child development, economics & psychology
- Establishing metrics: direction of change, size of change, what can be interpreted as “good” or “bad”?
- High data demands: frequent income measurement at granular level & decent quality measures of children’s development outcomes and environments
- Design and identification: uncoupling income volatility from income level, triggers and causes of volatility
Recently released research briefs from the Center

• Income Instability in the Lives of Hispanic Children

• Low and Stable Income: Comparisons Among Hispanic Children from 2004 to the period following the Great Recession

➤ See blog series & twitter chats (join @NCRHispanic)
The income gap between rich and poor is much larger among children in Hispanic HHs vs. children in non-Hispanic HHs.

Figure 1. Household Income Quintile Distribution of Children, SIPP 2004, by Hispanic Ethnicity.
Income instability is higher among all low-income HHs vs. high income. But, Hispanic low-income HHs are more income stable than other low-income HHs.

Figure 2. CV of households with Children, SIPP 2004, by Hispanic Ethnicity and Income Quintile
Children in low-income HHs: More income shocks than high income HHs; More positive income shocks than negative. Low-income Hispanic children experience fewer income shocks.
Empirical decisions underlying these analyses of income dynamics

- Real income, including cash assistance but excluding other forms of post-transfer income
- Quintile assignment based on wave 1 income

Income stability:
- Total income shocks (frequency, of certain magnitude)
- CV, sensitivity tests to APC (overall)
- Positive vs. negative income shocks (direction)
- Translation to lay audience
Conducting research on Hispanic families: Challenges & assumptions

- Inconsistencies. Self-reporting of Hispanic identity varies over time, by country of origin.
- Assign children according to adult HH Hispanic self report (not sensitive to child assignment bc incidence of mixed racial/ethnic HHs is small).
- Nativity/citizenship status does not line up. Citizenship does not vary much; nativity status sometimes does!
- Comparisons by race by paternal or maternal status confounded with residential status (e.g. black fathers).
Behind the scenes: Data and analysis

Survey of Income and Program Participation

• U.S. Census Bureau, started in 1984, most recent cohort panel is 2012
• Monthly income from 9 waves within panel, detailed by source AND selective info on children’s outcomes
• Large, nationally representative sample, *across the income spectrum*
• February 2004 to September 2006, relative calm pre- Great Recession & large sample of Hispanic households
• Decent info on U.S. nativity, citizenship, language, country of origin
Survey of Income and Program Participation

Income reported, monthly, over 4 months, for up to 12 waves, for each panel that may cover up to 3 calendar years.

- Seam bias
- Misreporting

Topical modules measuring specific domains during selective, sometimes multiple, waves
Measuring income

Considerations:

• Family vs. household level; multi-family households
• Child lens: Track income through changing residences
• Contributions from non household or nonresidential members
• Remittances
• Converting in kind assistance to cash value
• EITC in the context of monthly income dynamics
• Pre vs. post tax: deductions and credits (Taxism software at NBER)
Constructing meaningful income categories

• Informing policy:
  – Poverty thresholds
  – Specifically identifying eligible populations for certain programs (vs. proxies based on things like level of education, or poverty status)
  – Income to needs ratios

• Informing income inequality: Quintiles (bottom 20\textsuperscript{th} vs. top 80\textsuperscript{th}), deciles (bottom 10\textsuperscript{th} vs. top 90\textsuperscript{th})

• Transitory or point in time value of income vs. average/permanent income
Measuring income dynamics: Constructs

Income instability has many dimensions (underlying theories of child development):

- Direction (trajectory)
- Frequency
- Magnitude
- Contemporaneous vs. cumulative
Measuring income dynamics: Part 2

Converting theoretical constructs to quantifiable metrics.

Most common in economics literature:
• Coefficient of variation
• Average percent change (and its std dev)

Other measures:
• Number of income shocks (at varying thresholds)
• Positive vs. negative shocks
• A large shock
• Number of time periods, e.g. waves, with similar income
# Coefficient of Variation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Illustration HH 1:</th>
<th>Illustration HH 2:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 1: $1,000</td>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 1: $1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 2: $250</td>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 2: $750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 3: $1000</td>
<td>Reference Mo. Wave 3: $500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation: $530</td>
<td>Standard deviation: $354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean: $750</td>
<td>Mean: $750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV: 0.71</td>
<td>CV: 0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Measuring income dynamics: Trade offs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension/theory</th>
<th>CV</th>
<th>APC</th>
<th>Total # income shocks</th>
<th>Positive vs. negative shocks</th>
<th>% of waves with no change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invariance to starting point</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment of zero</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invariance to avg income</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audience translatability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Understanding income dynamics: Research design trade-offs

“Macro” picture, national data, secondary analyses:
• External validity, representativeness
• Flexibility & power to examine subpopulations
• Averages and aggregates might miss local household context significant to children

“Micro” picture, qualitative data, diaries
• Daily accounting only possible with frequent in person visitation; prohibitive costs so small samples
• Context representativeness, over time, situational pictures
• Across income/RE comparisons difficult to do with small Ns
Open questions & opportunities

- Room for advancement in constructs mapped onto a developmental framework (break out of the box of tracking trends)
- Triggers and consequences
- Interpretation: Favorable or unfavorable
- Methodological challenge: Income level vs. income change

➤ More room to learn about the unique Hispanic lens
What are the data telling us?

• A significant portion of Hispanic children are very poor and remained very poor through Great Recession

• May be experiencing fewer income shocks but they have little to lose
  • Some families reporting zero income
  • Disconnected from labor force

• Hard to know what’s really happening to families
  • Day-to-day instability not captured in survey data
  • Informal work for cash (unreported)
  • Pooling of household resources

Heather Sandstrom, Urban Institute
What contributes to income instability?

- Hard to observe triggers of income instability in survey data
- Qualitative evidence of job instability and disconnection
  - Lack of working papers, language barriers
  - Limited education and training
  - Mothers with young children may choose to not work
  - Difficulty finding affordable, trustworthy child care
  - No or limited access to transportation
  - Fear of deportation and abuse from employers
- Multi-generation households and two-parent families common in Hispanic families
  - But the most vulnerable are doubling up in unsafe housing, facing stress of migration and deportation

Heather Sandstrom, Urban Institute
Instability causes stress, affects child development

- Instability = Adverse life change or discontinuity in one’s experience in which an individual lacks choice and control
- “Domino effect”
- Some change is normal and anticipated, but sudden and dramatic disruptions can be stressful
- Supportive adults can act as a buffer against any negative effects of stress
- Chronic “unbuffered” stress can be harmful to children’s mental health and cognitive functioning


Heather Sandstrom, Urban Institute
When measuring (income) instability we must consider:

**Frequency and duration:** Episodic vs. chronic

**Directionality:** Upward/positive vs. downward/negative change

**Magnitude:** Big shock vs. small bump *(How much is too much?)*

**Reason:** Planned vs. unexpected *(What’s causing shocks?)*

**Timing:** More vulnerable in early childhood

**Population:** Worse for families in poverty or at the edge

*One bad thing puts family in crisis mode; difficult to recover*

- We need more mixed-methods research to better understand these dimensions

Heather Sandstrom, Urban Institute
To measure impacts on children, must also consider potential stabilizing factors

Economic capital
• Availability of financial assets
• Access to public safety net

Social capital
• Strength of informal social networks
• Quality of supportive adult relationships

Cultural capital
• Knowledge, skills, education → Parents transmit the attitudes and knowledge needed to succeed

Personal resiliency factors
• Positive mental health, self-efficacy, and motivation
Carola Suárez-Orozco
UCLA

Income Instability & the Economic Lives of Latino Children
Latinos are not a monolith

- From most privileged to most vulnerable
- In U.S. 15 generations to arrived yesterday
- By third generation majority are English speaking only; most second generation are some degree of bilinguals; most first generation are Spanish speakers, but increasingly Indigenous language speakers

Carola Suárez-Orozco, UCLA
Though more likely to be low income & less likely to access public support, somewhat buffered by:

- Greater likelihood to live in 2 parent families
- Multiple generations living together
- In Larger households
- With multiple earners

Carola Suárez-Orozco, UCLA
Multiple vulnerabilities

• Parental unauthorized status
  • Less likely to access benefits (even for citizen children who qualify)
• Deportation of parents (food and house-insecurity

Carola Suárez-Orozco, UCLA
Measurement Challenges

• Difficult to accurately capture (un)documented status

• Under-representation of Indigenous language speakers (a growing sector of Latino population)
Critical Importance of Mixed Methods Approaches

• For Linguistic & Cultural Accuracy

• Implement qualitative approach at initial phase to develop measures that capture constructs that represent community concerns and lived experience as well as linguistically accessible measures

• In last phase for member checks and to make sense of emerging evidence
Response

• Response to Comments
• Future Directions for Measuring Income Instability

[Note: The following slides expand upon the brief responses given in the webinar due to limited time.]
Response to comments

- Quality of data is not neutral to race/ethnicity.
  - Item or measurement bias, and nonresponse might vary by race/ethnicity, or within Hispanic subgroups.
- Capturing representative populations of Hispanics and construction of research samples.
  - Inherently takes “volunteers” to participate in studies.
  - Highly mobile families fall into pockets that often are not captured.
- Importance of collecting income information from ALL members of the household.
Response to comments (cont’d)

• Predictability is a challenging dimension of income instability.
  • Findings from qualitative approaches can help tease out scenarios and generate hypotheses, but not the best approach to determine consequences.
  • Quantitative designs can contribute: (a) experiments that provide stable monthly income; (b) capitalizing on unexpected income shocks, e.g. natural disasters (monsoons that wipe out farmer’s income), hitting cliffs in benefits, plant closings, etc.
Promising directions

• Themes emerging from qualitative studies are testable in quantitative formats.
  • Examples
    • Availability of child care & trust of providers (testable in the NSECE)
    • Job connections among young mothers (testable in SIPP)
    • Access to transportation (testable with geo-coded data)
• Other hypotheses are not feasible to explore in large data.
  • Example – the extent to which fear drives behavior
  • Need to see more triangulation across research strategies.
Promising directions (cont’d)

• Shift from a deficit perspective to a strengths perspective.
  • Income instability could be a chain of events that lead to economic security, even in the case of unpredictable change.
  • How to support already high employment rates among low-income Hispanic households.
• Developing meaningful constructs through mixed methods.
  • E.g., constructs that combine information about family income instability with community stability.
Q&A

• See tip sheet posted on the Center website www.hispanicresearchcenter.org.
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